

United States Patent and Trademark Office

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/588,766	08/08/2006	Harald Kraus	4303-1009	4303-1009 2464	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/28/2008	EXAMINER			
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			CULBERT, ROBERTS P		
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1792		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•	·	. 01/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/588,766		KRAUS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Roberts Cul	pert	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event on. period will apply and will e statute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be timexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.								
Disposition of Claims								
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Application	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a con Papers	hdrawn from cons						
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2006</u> is the Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be objected t	/are: a)⊠ accept o the drawing(s) be orrection is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/8/06</u> .	(8)	A) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 5) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number:

10/588,766 Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 4 recites the broad recitation 0.5 l/min, and the claim also recites 0.05 l/min which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number:

10/588,766 Art Unit: 1792

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0235985 to Christenson et al.

Regarding Claims 1-8, Christenson et al. teaches a method of selective etching comprising: providing a first material selected from a group comprising materials with a high dielectric constant on a substrate and providing a second material (silicon dioxide) on a substrate and selectively etching said first material with a selectivity of at least 2:1 (Paragraph 30) towards said second material by a liquid etchant comprising fluoride ions (Paragraphs 32-35) flowing across the substrate surface at a flow of at least 0.05 L/min (especially at least 0.5L/min) which is "sufficient fast to" generate a mean velocity v parallel to the substrate's surface of minimum 0.1m/s as broadly recited by applicant.

Regarding Claim 2, 3 and 5, Christenson et al. teaches the liquid may be dispensed onto the substrate in a continuous flow and spread over the substrate's surface ("supplied as a flow" Paragraph 43) in a time sequence and may be rotated while exposed to said liquid etchant. (centrifugal spray processor)

Regarding Claim 11, Christenson et al. teach the liquid etchant is selected from a group comprising a solution comprising fluoride ions and an additive for lowering dielectric constant of said solution, an acidic, aqueous solution comprising fluoride ions, an acidic, aqueous solution comprising fluoride ions and an additive for lowering dielectric number e.g. an alcohol. (Paragraphs 32-38)

Regarding Claim 12, Christenson et al. teach the liquid etchant comprises an analytical concentration of less than 0.01 mol/l of fluoride ions, wherein said analytical concentration is calculated as F. (Paragraph 35)

Regarding Claim13, Christenson et al. teach the liquid etchant comprises fluoride ions and has a pH value of below 3. (Paragraph 37)

Application/Control Number:

10/588,766 Art Unit: 1792

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0235985 to Christenson et al. in view of U.S. patent application Publication to Buchanan et al.

Regarding Claims 9 and 10, as applied above, Christenson et al. teach the method of the invention substantially as claimed, but do not expressly teach the first material is subjected a pretreatment in order to damage the material's structure, wherein the pretreatment is an energetic particle bombardment.

Buchanan et al. teach a pretreatment consisting of energetic particle bombardment may be used prior to wet etching high-k metal oxides using fluoride or HF silicon oxide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the conventional pre-treatment step in order to damage the metal oxide and increase the etch rate as taught by Buchanan et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,
Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization
where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number:

10/588,766 Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

me

R. Culbert Examiner Art Unit 1792